Design of combination therapies for tuberculosis

bree.aldridge@tufts.edu

Tufts University School of Medicine, Dept. of Molecular Biology and Microbiology **Tufts University School of Engineering, Dept. of Biomedical Engineering** Tufts Stuart B. Levy Center for Integrated Management of Antimicrobial Resistance

Bree Aldridge

TB must be treated with multidrug therapies because of heterogeneity



innate (bacterial)lesion

How can we prioritize drug combinations?



The vast combination space is sparsely considered in drug regimen design



The idea: prioritize combinations for animal studies based on practical systematic *in vitro* measurement



¹New Molecular Entities not yet approved, being developed for TB or only conditionally approved for TB. Showing most advanced stage reported for each. Details for projects listed can be found at http://www.newtbdrugs.org/pipeline/clinical Underline = new to Phase since March 2019

Ongoing projects without a lead compound series identified: http://www.newtbdrugs.org/pipeline/discovery

www.newtbdrugs.org

Updated: October 2019

Different drugs induce different morphological changes

rifampicin:



M. smegmatis RpoB-GFP

snapshot:



moxifloxacin:



snapshot:



Can we rapidly capture these morphological changes to classify drugs with similar pathways of action?

Bacterial cytological profiling rapidly identifies the cellular pathways targeted by antibacterial molecules

Poochit Nonejuie^a, Michael Burkart^b, Kit Pogliano^a, and Joe Pogliano^{a,1}

^aDivision of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, CA 92093; and ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, CA 92093

Edited by Christopher T. Walsh, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, and approved August 22, 2013 (received for review June 10, 2013)

Identifying the mechanism of action for antibacterial compounds is essential for understanding how bacteria interact with one anMMS assays suffer from low resolution, low accuracy, and relatively low throughput.



Trever Smith, PhD



Krista Pullen

Michaela Olson

Morgan McNellis



Can we rapidly capture these morphological changes to classify drugs with similar pathways of action?



moxifloxacin





Morphological features in drug-treated Mtb are subtle and extremely variable



tuberculosis

moxifloxacin





Morphological differences in Mtb are measurable using high-throughput fixed-cell imaging



untreated streptomycin linezolid moxifloxacin rifampicin bedaquilline meropenem ethambutol isoniazid

Smith et. al, PNAS, 2020



Bacterial cytological profiling pipeline



Mtb samples cannot be classified by drug mechanism of action using the standard pipeline

E. coli (Nonejuie et al)



Nonejuie et al. PNAS 2013



M. tuberculosis

Mtb samples cannot be classified by drug mechanism of action using the standard pipeline

- Sample-sample heterogeneity (batch)
 - Cell-to-cell heterogeneity
 - Subtle features
 - Nonlinear clustering



M. tuberculosis

Accounting for batch-to-batch and cell-to-cell heterogeneity with Typical Variation Normalization



TVN: D. Michael Ando, Cory McLean, Marc Berndl https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/161422v1.full

Accounting for batch-to-batch and cell-to-cell heterogeneity improves clustering

- Sample-sample heterogeneity (batch)
 - Cell-to-cell heterogeneity
 - Subtle features
 - Nonlinear clustering

~100 features: nucleoid shape • cell shape stain solidity stain intensity heterogeneity number of stained regions texture of staining



M. tuberculosis

MorphEUS: <u>Morphological Evaluation and Understanding of Stress</u>





MorphEUS: <u>Morphological Evaluation and Understanding of Stress</u>



- Sample-sample heterogeneity (batch)
- Cell-to-cell heterogeneity
- Subtle features

expanded feature set batch normalization feature selection



stochastic simulation & neighborhood clustering







Antibiotics induce morphological changes that are similar within broad cellular targets



accuracy 94% (cross validation 76%)

ampicillin cefotaxime cerulenin cycloserine delamanid ethambutol ethionamide imipenem isoniazid meropenem pretomanid orlistat vancomycin untreated water levofloxacin mitomycin moxifloxácin ofloxacin bedaquiline cccp clofazimine monensin nigericin thioridazine amikacin chloramphenicol clarithromycin doxycycline gentamicin kanamycin lineźolid streptomycin tetracycline rifampicin rifapentine cell wall control protein DNA RNA respiration

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% connection strength



Antibiotics with similar target pathways are well-grouped



connection strength

Bedaquiline paradox: energy crisis



connection strength

Bedaquiline paradox: energy crisis



bedaquiline similar to ethambutol & isoniazid

growth in fatty acid



bedaquiline NOT similar to ethambutol & isoniazid

Morphological profiling captures the signatures for the proximal cause of cellular damage



connection strength

Design of multi-drug therapies



unexplored combination space

The contour of the checkerboard is a measurement of drug synergy and antagonism





Checkerboard assays are prohibitively inefficient for systematic study



[Drug X]









DiaMOND: Diagonal measurement of n-way drug interactions



Cokol et al., Science Advances (2017)



DiaMOND can be extended to high-order interactions



Cokol et al., Science Advances (2017) Katzir et al, PLoS Computational Biology (2019)



The idea: prioritize combinations for animal studies based on practical systematic *in vitro* measurement

drug combinations





stress conditions

dynamic dose responses



A compendium of drug combination potency and interaction metrics using DiaMOND

drug combinations





Jonah Larkins-Ford

Nhi Van

Yonatan Degefu

stress conditions

dynamic dose responses



Talia Greenstein

Michaela Olson

175 combinations >50,000 dose response curves

Larkins-Ford et al., bioRxiv, 2021



Drug potencies are highly dependent on the growth environment and pathway of action



antibiotic

l,	in vitro model	doubling time (days)
	standard	0.7
	dormancy	NA
	acidic	2
	butyrate	2
	valerate	3
	intracellular	1.5
	cholesterol - high	4
	cholesterol	7

pyrazinamide

relative potency

min





Drug interactions are dependent on the growth environment



intracellular butyrate valerate acidic dormancy standard cholesterol cholesterol-high

Metrics of drug interactions and potencies from DiaMOND combination dose response curves









Can combinations of in vitro metrics predict in vivo outcomes?





Outcomes in BALB/c relapsing mouse model

C0: SOC or worse

C1: better than SOC

- Trained on 27 combinations
- Tested on 19 combinations

Unsupervised, in vitro DiaMOND data distinguishes outcomes in the BALB/c relapsing model





Potency metrics in lipid-rich and acidic environments drive classification of relapse outcome

model	metric
standard	GR
standard	E _{inf}
acidic	GR _{inf} (T)
cholesterol	E _{inf} (C)
acidic	GR _{inf} (C)
butyrate	E _{inf} (C)
valerate	E _{inf} (C)
valerate	AUC ₂₅ (T)
valerate	GR _{inf} (T)
cholesterol-high	FIC ₅₀ (T)
dormancy	E _{inf} (C)
cholesterol	FIC ₅₀ (T)
cholesterol	E _{inf} (T)
valerate	AUC ₂₅ (T)
cholesterol-high	E _{inf} (C)
cholesterol	E _{inf} (C)
standard	AUC ₂₅
valerate	GR _{inf} (C)
cholesterol	GR _{inf} (C)
acidic	AUC ₂₅ (T)





A suite of simple *in vitro* models can be used for predictive drug response measurement

model	metric
standard	GR
standard	E
acidic	GR _{inf} (T)
cholesterol	E _{inf} (C)
acidic	GR _{inf} (C)
butyrate	E _{inf} (C)
valerate	E _{inf} (C)
valerate	AUC ₂₅ (T)
valerate	GR _{inf} (T)
cholesterol-high	FIC ₅₀ (T)
dormancy	E _{inf} (C)
cholesterol	FIC ₅₀ (T)
cholesterol	E _{inf} (T)
valerate	AUC ₂₅ (T)
cholesterol-high	E _{inf} (C)
cholesterol	E _{inf} (C)
standard	AUC ₂₅
valerate	GR _{inf} (C)
cholesterol	GR _{inf} (C)
acidic	AUC ₂₅ (T)

Top classifiers using subsets of simple growth conditions:



Relapsing mouse model

Design of multi-drug therapies



unexplored combination space

Systematic in vitro measurement Validated in vitro models **Potency measures**

Many thanks to...



Jonah Larkins-Ford





Nhi Van

Yonatan Degefu



Trever Smith, PhD



Krista Pullen



Michaela Olson

BILL&MELINDA GATES foundation







Talia Greenstein





NEW INNOVATOR AWARD

& R01 AI143611-01



Tufts

CENTER FOR INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE

Aldridge lab Kelsie Anson, PhD Christin Chung, PhD Kathleen Davis, PhD Yonatan Degefu Aonkon Dey Talia Greenstein Maliwan Kamkaew Jonah Larkins-Ford Morgan McNellis Michaela Olson Krista Pullen (alumni) Ian Richardson (alumni) Trever Smith, PhD Nhi Van

Google Michael Ando, PhD

Rutgers Joel Freundlich, PhD Xin Wang

